

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan

Notice of Failure to Comply With Electronic Filing Policies and Procedures Directed to **Mary K. Deon**

On May 12, 2010, an electronic "**Delivery Failure Report**" was received by the court after an entry was made on the court record in case number 09-cv-10454. Failure to provide the court with a correct e-mail address is a violation of the **Electronic Filing Policies and Procedures R3 (c). Rule 3 Eligibility, Registration, Passwords states:**

(a) A filing user must be an attorney admitted and in good standing to practice in the Eastern District of Michigan, an attorney authorized to represent the United States Government, or a non-incarcerated *pro se* party granted access permission.

(1) Attorneys already admitted to practice who have a case pending before the Court must immediately comply with (b).

(2) Newly-admitted attorneys who have a case pending before the Court at the time of admission have up to two weeks to comply with (b). Newly-admitted attorneys do not need to comply with (b) until such time as the attorney has a case pending before the Court.

(b) Each filing user must complete and submit the ECF Registration Form <https://secure.mied.uscourts.gov/CMECF/AttorneyReg/>. Effective September 19, 2005, filing users must complete mandatory ECF Training.

(c) Each filing user is responsible for maintaining valid contact information in his or her ECF Registration account profile. When a user's contact information changes, the user must promptly update his or her ECF Registration account profile. If the filing user has a pending case before the Court, the user must also promptly notify all parties in all cases. Electronic service upon an obsolete e-mail address will constitute valid service if the user has not updated the account profile with the new e-mail address.

This notice will be entered on the court record under the provisions of LR 11.1. **Sanctions may be imposed if additional violations occur after this date.**

LR 11.1 Sanctions for Non-Compliance with Local Rules

If, after notice and a reasonable opportunity to respond, the Court determines that a provision of these Local Rules has been knowingly violated, the Court may impose an appropriate sanction upon the attorneys, law firms, or parties that have violated the Local Rule or are responsible for the violation. The procedures for imposing sanctions and the nature of sanctions shall be as set out in Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(c). For purposes of this rule, references in Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(c) to violations of "subdivision (b)" are deemed to be references to violations of the Local Rules, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(c)(2)(A) does not apply.

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on this date, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

DAVID J. WEAVER, CLERK OF COURT

By: s/T. McGovern
Deputy Clerk

Dated: May 13, 2010

Delivery Failure Report

Your document was not delivered to: Activity in Case 2:09-cv-10454-VAR-VMM Braintech, Incorporated v. Shafi Set Deadlines/Hearings
because: deonm@pepperlaw.com
host pepperlaw1-1254-mail1.customer.frontbridge.com[208.7.178.5] said: 550 5.1.1 User unknown (in reply to RCPT TO command)

What should you do?

- You can resend the undeliverable document to the recipients listed above by choosing the Resend button or the Resend command on the Actions menu.
- Once you have resent the document you may delete this Delivery Failure Report.
- If resending the document is not successful you will receive a new failure report.
- Unless you receive other Delivery Failure Reports, the document was successfully delivered to all other recipients.

Routing path

USHUB03/H/US/USCOURTS, 06MIEMAIL01a/M/06/USCOURTS

To: cmecfadmin@mied.uscourts.gov

cc:

Date: 03:12:37 PM AST Today

Subject: Activity in Case 2:09-cv-10454-VAR-VMM Braintech, Incorporated v. Shafi Set Deadlines/Hearings

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended. ***NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS*** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply. U.S. District Court Eastern District of Michigan

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 5/12/2010 3:12 PM EDT and filed on 5/12/2010

Case Name: Braintech, Incorporated v. Shafi
Case Number: 2:09-cv-10454-VAR-VMM
<https://ecf.mied.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/DktRpt.pl?236776>

Filer:

Document Number:

No document attached
Docket Text:

Set Deadlines/Hearings: Telephone Conference reset for 5/18/2010 at 3:30 PM before District Judge Victoria A Roberts (adjourned from 5/17/10). The Court will initiate the conference call. (LVer)

2:09-cv-10454-VAR-VMM Notice has been electronically mailed to:

James D. VandeWyngearde
vandewyj@pepperlaw.com,
davisdm@pepperlaw.com, kuschj@pepperlaw.com

James P. Murphy
murph@berrymoorman.com

Mary K. Deon
deonm@pepperlaw.com,
davisdm@pepperlaw.com

Richard R. Zmijewski
richardz@berrymoorman.com

2:09-cv-10454-VAR-VMM Notice will not be electronically mailed to: